

Date: April 25, 2017

The Office of Court Administration continues to work diligently on the information technology projects currently underway. Since the previous update, the case management system committees for both the circuit and district courts have continued to meet weekly to familiarize themselves with the system and continue work on configuring FullCourt Enterprise to coincide with the needs of the State. The committees have also participated in another round of gap sessions with Justice Systems Inc. to review the system's functionality and attempt to recognize the gaps in the system where additional functionality may be needed.

The automation committees, made up of circuit and district court judges and Justice Davis from the Supreme Court, continue to meet every four to six weeks to review progress on the projects, and to answer major policy questions that have arisen while work on the projects progress. The committees have engaged in meaningful discussions regarding a number of policy issues, and those discussions will continue throughout the course of these projects.

The public access committee, made up of clerks from all levels of the court system, attorneys, and chaired by Judge Castano from the Sixth Judicial District Circuit Court, held its organizational meeting in March. The committee summarized its goals and outlined its approach leading up to the roll out of the public access system. The committee is scheduled to meet again in May where all committee members will assemble at the Supreme Court for a half-day meeting that will address some of the policy issues that surround public access in Wyoming.

Work on the judges' tools for the district court judges continues at the Supreme Court with the information technology staff, and ramp up with the committee will commence shortly. Mentis Technology, which will be providing the product titled aiSmartBench, has helped court administration provide exposure to the product through demonstrations and presentations to various stakeholders.

Court administration, as well as the various committees, continue to move forward with the various court automation projects. A great deal of work has been done in a short amount of time, and the Supreme Court is grateful for the participation and effort provided by all involved.

The information contained herein will be updated no later than July 1, 2017.